arXiv:1510.07756v2 [math.DG] 13 Jan 2016

QUASI-LOCAL MASS INTEGRALS AND THE TOTAL MASS

PENGZI MIAO[1], LUEN-FAI TAM[2], AND NAQING XIE[3]

Abstract. On asymptotically flat and asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds, by evaluating the total mass via the Ricci tensor, we show that the limits of certain Brown-York type and Hawking type quasi-local mass integrals equal the total mass of the manifold in all dimensions.

1. introduction

In this work, we discuss the relation between certain quasi-local mass integrals and the total mass of asymptotically flat and asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds.

First we introduce some notations and recall some definitions. Let (M^n, g) be a Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$. For $\lambda = 0$ or -1, let

$$G_\lambda^g = \mathrm{Ric}(g) - \frac{1}{2}\left[\mathcal{S}_g - \lambda(n-1)(n-2)\right] g, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\mathrm{Ric}(g)$ and $\mathcal{S}_g$ are the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature of g, respectively.

Throughout the paper, b_n and c_n will always denote the constants:

$$\begin{cases} b_n = & \frac{1}{2(n-1)\omega_{n-1}}, \\ c_n = & \frac{1}{(n-1)(n-2)\omega_{n-1}}, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

where ω_{n-1} is the volume of the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition 1.1. (M^n, g) is an *asymptotically flat* (AF) manifold if, outside a compact set, M^n is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{|x| \leq r_0\}$ for some

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 83C99; Secondary 53C20.
Key words and phrases. Scalar curvature, quasi-local mass.
[1]Research partially supported by Simons Foundation Collaboration Grant for Mathematicians #281105.
[2]Research partially supported by Hong Kong RGC General Research Fund #CUHK 14305114.
[3]Research partially supported by the National Science Foundation of China #11421061.

$r_0 > 0$ and, with respect to the coordinates $x = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$, the metric components $\{g_{ij}\}$ satisfy

$$g_{ij} - \delta_{ij} = O(|x|^{-\tau}), \partial g_{ij} = O(|x|^{-1-\tau}),\ \partial^2 g_{ij} = O(|x|^{-2-\tau}) \tag{1.3}$$

for some $\tau > \frac{n-2}{2}$. Here ∂ denotes the partial differentiation on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, one assumes the scalar curvature $\mathcal{S}_g$ is in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

On an asymptotically flat (M^n, g), the total mass (or the ADM mass) $\mathfrak{m}$ ([1]) is defined as:

$$\mathfrak{m} = b_n \lim_{r\to\infty} \int_{S_r} (g_{ij,i} - g_{ii,j})\, \nu_e^j d\sigma_e, \tag{1.4}$$

where $S_r = \{|x| = r\}$, ν_e is the unit outward normal and $d\sigma_e$ is the area element on S_r both with respect to the Euclidean metric g_e.

The definitions of an asymptotically hyperbolic manifold and its mass are more elaborate (cf. [6, 27, 28]). Here we use the most general form given in [6]. Let $\mathbb{H}^n$ denote the standard hyperbolic space. The metric g_0 on $\mathbb{H}^n$ can be written as

$$g_0 = d\rho^2 + (\sinh\rho)^2 h_0,$$

where ρ is the g_0-distance to a fixed point o and h_0 is the standard metric on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Let $\varepsilon_0 = \partial_\rho$, $\varepsilon_\alpha = \frac{1}{\sinh\rho} f_\alpha$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, n-1$, where $\{f_\alpha\}_{1\le\alpha\le n-1}$ is a local orthonormal frame on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, then $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{0\le i\le n-1}$ form a local orthonormal frame on $\mathbb{H}^n$. By abuse of notation, we let Σ_ρ denote the geodesic sphere in $\mathbb{H}^n$ of radius ρ centered at o.

Definition 1.2. (M^n, g) is called *asymptotically hyperbolic* (AH) if, outside a compact set, M is diffeomorphic to the exterior of some geodesic sphere Σ_{ρ_0} in $\mathbb{H}^n$ such that the metric components $g_{ij} = g(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j)$, $0 \le i, j \le n-1$, satisfy

$$|g_{ij} - \delta_{ij}| = O(e^{-\tau\rho}),\ |\varepsilon_k(g_{ij})| = O(e^{-\tau\rho}),\ |\varepsilon_k(\varepsilon_l(g_{ij}))| = (e^{-\tau\rho}) \tag{1.5}$$

for some $\tau > \frac{n}{2}$. Moreover, one assumes $\mathcal{S}_g + n(n-1)$ is in $L^1(\mathbb{H}^n, e^\rho dv_0)$, where dv_0 is the volume element of g_0 and $\mathcal{S}_g$ is the scalar curvature of g.

On an asymptotically hyperbolic (M^n, g), by the results in [6], its mass $\mathbf{M}(g)$ is a linear function on the kernel of $(D\mathcal{S})^*_{g_0}$, where $(D\mathcal{S})^*_{g_0}$ is the formal adjoint of the linearization of the scalar curvature at g_0. Let $\theta = (\theta^1, \dots, \theta^n) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, the functions

$$V^{(0)} = \cosh\rho, \quad V^{(j)} = \theta^j \sinh\rho,\ 1 \le j \le n \tag{1.6}$$

then form a basis of the kernel of $(D\mathcal{S})^*_{g_0}$. $\mathbf{M}(g)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{M}(V^{(i)}) = b_n \lim_{\rho\to\infty} \int_{\Sigma_\rho} \big[V^{(i)}(\mathrm{div}_0 h - d\mathrm{tr}_0 h) \\ -h(\nabla_0 V^{(i)}, \cdot) + (\mathrm{tr}_0 h) dV^{(i)}\big]\,(\tilde{\nu}) d\sigma_0,\end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

where $h = g - g_0$, $\tilde{\nu}$ is the g_0-unit outward normal to Σ_ρ, $d\sigma_0$ is the volume element on Σ_ρ of the metric induced from g_0, and div_0, tr_0, ∇_0 denote the divergence, trace, the covariant derivative with respect to g_0, respectively. We refer readers to [6] (also see [18]) for a well explained motivation behind this definition.

For our purpose in this work, we make use of the following formulae, available in the literature, which compute $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathbf{M}(g)(V^{(i)})$ in terms of the tensor G^g_λ defined in (1.1).

Theorem 1.1. (i) *Suppose (M^n, g) is an AF manifold, then*

$$\mathfrak{m} = -c_n \lim_{r\to\infty} \int_{S_r} G^g_0(X, \nu_g) d\sigma_g.$$

Here ν_g is unit outward normal to S_r in (M^n, g), $d\sigma_g$ is the volume element of S_r with respect to the metric induced by g, $X = \sum_{i=1}^n x^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ is the conformal radial Killing vector field on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

(ii) *Suppose (M^n, g) is an AH manifold. Then, for each $0 \le i \le n$,*

$$\mathbf{M}(g)(V^{(i)}) = -c_n \lim_{\rho\to\infty} \int_{\Sigma_\rho} G^g_{-1}(X^{(i)}, \nu_g) d\sigma_g.$$

Here $\{X^{(i)}\}$ are the conformal Killing vector fields on $\mathbb{H}^n$, given by

$$X^{(0)} = x^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}, \quad X^{(j)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j},\ 1 \le j \le n$$

in the ball model (B^n, g_0) of $\mathbb{H}^n$ where $B^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n |\ |x| < 1\}$ and $(g_0)_{ij} = \frac{4}{(1-|x|^2)^2}\delta_{ij}$, $\{V^{(i)}\}$ are functions on $\mathbb{H}^n$ defined by (1.6), which on (B^n, g_0) take the form of

$$V^{(0)} = \frac{1+r^2}{1-r^2}, \quad V^{(i)} = \frac{2x^i}{1-r^2},\ 1 \le i \le n$$

with $r = |x|$, Σ_ρ is the geodesic sphere of radius ρ centered at $x = 0$ in (B^n, g_0), ν_g is the outward unit normal to Σ_ρ with respect to g, and $d\sigma_g$ is the volume element on Σ_ρ of the metric induced by g.

We emphasize that Theorem 1.1 (i) is widely known in the relativistic community (cf. [2, 5]) and a proof of it can be found in [12, 17, 10, 25]. Theorem 1.1 (ii) was recently proved by Herzlich [10].

In this paper, we give applications of the formulae in Theorem 1.1. Our main results are the following:

Theorem 1.2. *Let (M^n, g) be an asymptotically flat manifold. Let $\{\Sigma_\rho\}$ be a family of nearly round hypersurfaces in (M^n, g) (see Definition 2.1). Then*

$$\lim_{\rho\to\infty} \frac{c_n}{2}\left(\frac{|\Sigma_\rho|}{\omega_{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \int_{\Sigma_\rho}\left(S^\rho - \frac{n-2}{n-1}H^2\right) d\sigma_\rho = \mathfrak{m}. \tag{1.8}$$

If in addition Σ_ρ can be isometrically embedded in $\mathbb{R}^n$ when ρ is sufficiently large, which is automatically satisfied if $n = 3$, then

$$\lim_{\rho\to\infty} 2b_n \int_{\Sigma_\rho} (H_0 - H) d\sigma_\rho = \mathfrak{m} \tag{1.9}$$

and

$$\lim_{\rho\to\infty} c_n \left(\frac{|\Sigma_\rho|}{\omega_{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \int_{\Sigma_\rho} \sum_{\alpha<\beta}\left(\kappa^{(0)}_\alpha \kappa^{(0)}_\beta - \kappa_\alpha\kappa_\beta\right) d\sigma_\rho = \mathfrak{m}. \tag{1.10}$$

Here $|\Sigma_\rho|$, S^ρ, $d\sigma_\rho$ are the volume, the scalar curvature, the volume element of Σ_ρ, respectively; H, $\{\kappa_\alpha\}$ are the mean curvature, the principal curvatures of Σ_ρ in (M^n, g), respectively; H_0, $\{\kappa^{(0)}_\alpha\}$ are the mean curvature, the principal curvatures of the isometric embedding of Σ_ρ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, respectively; and $\mathfrak{m}$ is the total mass of (M^n, g).

Theorem 1.3. *Let (M^n, g) be an asymptotically hyperbolic manifold with suitable decay rate τ (specified in Theorem 3.1). Let $\{\Sigma_\rho\}_{\rho\geq\rho_0}$ be the hypersurfaces in M^n which are the geodesic spheres of radius ρ in $\mathbb{H}^n$ as specified in Definition 1.2. Let $\{V^{(i)}\}_{0\leq i\leq n-1}$ be the functions given in* (1.6)*. Then the following are true:*

(I) (a)

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{M}(g)(V^{(0)})\\ &= \lim_{\rho\to\infty} \frac{c_n}{2}\left(\frac{|\Sigma_\rho|}{\omega_{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \int_{\Sigma_\rho}\left[S^\rho - \frac{n-2}{n-1}H^2 + (n-1)(n-2)\right] d\sigma_\rho.\end{aligned}$$

(b) *For $1 \leq i \leq n$,*

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{M}(g)(V^{(i)})\\ &= \lim_{\rho\to\infty} \frac{c_n}{2}\left(\frac{|\Sigma_\rho|}{\omega_{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \int_{\Sigma_\rho} \frac{x^i}{|x|}\left[S^\rho - \frac{n-2}{n-1}H^2 + (n-1)(n-2)\right] d\sigma_\rho,\end{aligned}$$

where $\{x^i\}$ *are the coordinate functions in the ball model* (B^n, g_0) *of* $\mathbb{H}^n$.

(II) *Suppose* Σ_ρ *can be isometrically embedded in* $\mathbb{H}^n$ *for sufficiently large* ρ, *which is automatically satisfied when* $n = 3$.

(a) *For each* $0 \le i \le n$,

$$\mathbf{M}(g)(V^{(i)}) = 2b_n \lim_{\rho\to\infty} \int_{\Sigma_\rho} (H_0 - H)V^{(i)}\, d\sigma_\rho.$$

(b) *Consider the hyperboloid model of* $\mathbb{H}^n$, *i.e.*

$$\{(x^0, x^1, \dots, x^n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n,1} |\ (x^0)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x^i)^2 = 1, x^0 > 0\},$$

where $\mathbb{R}^{n,1}$ *is the* $(n+1)$*-dimensional Minkowski space, there exist isometric embeddings of* $\{\Sigma_\rho\}$ *in* $\mathbb{H}^n$ *such that*

$$\mathbf{M}(g)(V) = 2b_n \lim_{\rho\to\infty} \int_{\Sigma_\rho} (H_0 - H)\mathbf{x}\ d\sigma_\rho,$$

where $\mathbf{M}(g)(V) = \big(\mathbf{M}(g)(V^{(0)}), \dots, \mathbf{M}(g)(V^{(n)})\big)$ *and* $\mathbf{x}$ *is the position vector of the embedding of* Σ_ρ *in* $\mathbb{H}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n,1}$.

Here $|\Sigma_\rho|$, S^ρ, $d\sigma_\rho$ *are the volume, the scalar curvature, the volume element of* Σ_ρ, *respectively;* H, $\{\kappa_\alpha\}$ *are the mean curvature, the principal curvatures of* Σ_ρ *in* (M^n, g), *respectively;* H_0, $\{\kappa_\alpha^{(0)}\}$ *are the mean curvature, the principal curvatures of the isometric embedding of* Σ_ρ *in* $\mathbb{H}^n$, *respectively; and* $\mathbf{M}(g)(\cdot)$ *is the mass function of* (M^n, g).

We give some remarks concerning Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

Remark 1.1. When $n = 3$, (1.8) and (1.9) in Theorem 1.2 become

$$\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_{_H}(\Sigma_\rho) + o(1) \tag{1.11}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_{_{BY}}(\Sigma_\rho) + o(1) \tag{1.12}$$

as $\rho \to \infty$, respectively, where

$$\mathfrak{m}_{_H}(\Sigma_\rho) = \sqrt{\frac{|\Sigma_\rho|}{16\pi}} \left[1 - \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{\Sigma_\rho} H^2 d\sigma_\rho\right]$$

is the Hawking quasi-local mass [9] of Σ_ρ in (M^3, g), and

$$\mathfrak{m}_{_{BY}}(\Sigma_\rho) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\Sigma_\rho} (H_0 - H) d\sigma_\rho$$

is the Brown-York quasi-local mass ([3, 4]) of Σ_ρ in (M^3, g). In this case, (1.12) was first proved for coordinate spheres $\{S_r\}$ in [8]. Later in [24], (1.11) and (1.12) were proved for nearly round 2-surfaces. (Examples of surfaces which are not nearly round, but along which $\mathfrak{m}_{_{BY}}(\cdot)$ converges to $\mathfrak{m}$, were given in [7].) Both proofs in [8, 24] are via delicate pointwise estimates of H, together with an application of the Minkowski integral formula (cf. [14]) to handle the integral of H_0. For $n \geq 3$, our proof of (1.8) and (1.9) in Theorem 1.2 is to use Theorem 1.1(i) with $\{S_r\}$ replaced by $\{\Sigma_\rho\}$. Indeed, it was proved in [17, Theorem 2.1] that Theorem 1.1(i) is still valid if $\{S_r\}$ is replaced by a family of Lipschitz hypersurfaces $\{\Sigma_l\}$, which are boundaries of domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$ so that $r_l := \inf_{x\in\Sigma_l} |x|$ tends to infinity with the volume $|\Sigma_l|$ satisfying $|\Sigma_l| \leq C r_l^{n-1}$ for some constant C independent of l. In particular, the mass formula in Theorem 1.1 (i) is applicable to nearly round hypersurfaces defined in Definition 2.1.

Remark 1.2. When $n \geq 4$, though (1.9) and (1.10) in Theorem 1.2 are proved under the assumption that Σ_ρ can be isometrically embedded in $\mathbb{R}^n$ for large ρ, it is directly applicable in certain special cases. For instance, in [22] an asymptotically flat metric $g = u^2 dr^2 + g_r$ with zero scalar curvature was constructed on the exterior E of a convex hypersurface Σ_0 in $\mathbb{R}^n$, where r is the Euclidean distance to Σ_0 and g_r represents the induced metric on Σ_r that is the level set of r. In this case, one easily checks that $\{\Sigma_r\}$ is a family of nearly round hypersurfaces in (E, g), which are automatically isometrically embedded in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Hence, (1.9) in Theorem 1.2 implies Theorem 2.1(c) in [22].

Remark 1.3. Since $H_0 = \sum_\alpha \kappa_\alpha^{(0)}$, $H = \sum_\alpha \kappa_\alpha$, and

$$\sum_{\alpha<\beta} \kappa_\alpha^{(0)} \kappa_\beta^{(0)}, \ \sum_{\alpha<\beta} \kappa_\alpha \kappa_\beta$$

are often known as the seconder order mean curvature of Σ_ρ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, (M^n, g) respectively, (1.10) in Theorem 1.2 may be viewed as an analogue of (1.9) in terms of the seconder order mean curvature.

Remark 1.4. When $n = 3$, part (I) (a) of Theorem 1.3 becomes

$$\mathbf{M}(g)(V^{(0)}) = \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{_H}(\Sigma_\rho) + o(1), \text{ as } \rho \to \infty,$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{_H}(\Sigma_\rho) = \sqrt{\frac{|\Sigma_\rho|}{16\pi}} \left[1 - \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{\Sigma_\rho} H^2 d\sigma_\rho + \frac{|\Sigma_\rho|}{4\pi} \right]$$

is known as a hyperbolic analogue of the Hawking mass (cf. [27, 19]). However, part (I) of Theorem 1.3 shows that the limit of $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{_H}(\Sigma_\rho)$ is

only part of the mass function $\mathbf{M}(g)(V)$. Therefore, one may expect a complete definition of the hyperbolic Hawking mass of Σ_ρ to involve all integrals under the limit sign in part (I) (a) and (b) of Theorem 1.3.

Remark 1.5. When $n = 3$, the integral

$$\frac{1}{8\pi}\int_{\Sigma_\rho}(H_0 - H)\mathbf{x}\, d\sigma_\rho$$

in part (II) (b) of Theorem 1.3 is analogous to the quasi-local mass integral for closed surfaces in 3-manifolds with $S_g \geq -6$ considered in [26, 23]. In the context of conformally compact asymptotically hyperbolic 3-manifolds, part (II) (b) of Theorem 1.3 was proved in [15]. For any $n \geq 3$, we prove Theorem 1.3 by applying Theorem 1.1(ii).

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we prove Theorem 1.2. In section 3, we prove Theorem 1.3.

2. Limits of quasi-local mass integrals in AF manifolds

In an asymptotically flat (M^n, g), given a closed hypersurface Σ that is homologous to a large coordinate sphere at infinity, we let H and A be the mean curvature and the second fundamental form of Σ with respect to the infinity pointing unit normal ν respectively, and let $\mathring{A}$ be the traceless second fundamental form of Σ, i.e. $\mathring{A} = A - \frac{H}{n-1}\sigma$, where σ is the induced metric on Σ. We also let $d\sigma$, ∇, $|\Sigma|$ and $\mathrm{Diam}(\Sigma)$ denote the volume form on Σ, the covariant differentiation on Σ, the volume and the intrinsic diameter of Σ, respectively.

The concept of a 1-parameter family of *nearly round surfaces* at infinity of an asymptotically flat 3-manifold was used in [24]. Below we give its analogue in general dimensions.

Definition 2.1. Let $\{\Sigma_\rho\}$ be a family of closed, connected, embedded hypersurfaces, homeomorphic to the $(n-1)$-dimensional sphere, in (M^n, g) with $\rho \in (\rho_0, \infty)$ for some $\rho_0 > 0$. $\{\Sigma_\rho\}$ is called *nearly round* if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, for all ρ, the following are satisfied:

(i) $C^{-1}\rho \leq |x| \leq C\rho$ for all $x \in \Sigma_\rho$;
(ii) $|\mathring{A}| + \rho|\nabla\mathring{A}| \leq C\rho^{-1-\tau}$;
(iii) $|\Sigma_\rho| \leq C\rho^{n-1}$;
(iv) $\mathrm{Diam}(\Sigma_\rho) \leq C\rho$.

Next, we give a series of lemmas for a family of nearly round hypersurfaces $\{\Sigma_\rho\}$ in an asymptotically flat (M^n, g).

Lemma 2.1. *There is a constant $C > 0$ independent on ρ such that*

$$|\nabla A| \leq C\rho^{-2-\tau},\ |\nabla H| \leq C\rho^{-2-\tau}.$$

Proof. By [13, Lemma 2.2], given any constant $a > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla A|^2 \geq\ & \left(\frac{3}{n+1} - a\right)|\nabla H|^2 \\ & - \frac{2}{n+1}\left(\frac{2}{n+1}a^{-1} - \frac{n-1}{n-2}\right)|w|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where w is the projection of $\mathrm{Ric}(\nu, \cdot)$ on Σ_ρ and $\mathrm{Ric}(\cdot,\cdot)$ is the Ricci curvature of (M^n, g). On the other hand, given any constant $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{n-1}|\nabla H|^2 = |\nabla(A - \mathring{A})|^2 \geq (1-\epsilon)|\nabla A|^2 - C(\epsilon)|\nabla \mathring{A}|^2 \tag{2.2}$$

for some constant $C(\epsilon) > 0$ depending only on ϵ. Since $n \geq 3$, we may choose a and ϵ such that $\left(\frac{3}{n+1} - a\right)(n-1)(1-\epsilon) > 1$. Thus, it follows from (2.1) and (2.2) that

$$|\nabla A| \leq C\rho^{-2-\tau}, \tag{2.3}$$

where we also used (1.3) and (i), (ii) in Definition 2.1. The fact $|\nabla H| \leq C\rho^{-2-\tau}$ now follows from (2.1) and (2.3). □

In what follows, we let $\hat{g}$ be the background Euclidean metric on the open set of M^n that is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{|x| \leq r_0\}$. A symbol with " $\hat{}$ " means the corresponding quantity is computed with respect to $\hat{g}$.

Lemma 2.2. *As $\rho \to \infty$,*

$$d\sigma = (1 + O(\rho^{-\tau}))d\hat{\sigma},\ \nu - \hat{\nu} = O(\rho^{-\tau}),$$
$$|A - \hat{A}| = O(\rho^{-\tau})|\hat{A}| + O(\rho^{-1-\tau}).$$

Proof. At any $p \in \Sigma_\rho$, let $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}\}$ be a $\hat{g}$-orthonormal frame in $T_p\Sigma_\rho$. Let $e_n = \hat{\nu}$, then $\{e_i \mid i = 1, \ldots, n\}$ form a $\hat{g}$-orthonormal frame in T_pM^n. Hence, if $e_i = a_i^j \partial_{x_j}$, then $(a_i^j)_{n\times n}$ is an orthogonal matrix. Thus,

$$g(e_i, e_j) = a_i^k a_j^l g(\partial_{x_k}, \partial_{x_l}) = \delta_{ij} + O(\rho^{-\tau}), \tag{2.4}$$

which implies $d\sigma = (1 + O(\rho^{-\tau}))d\hat{\sigma}$. Now assume $\nu = X^i e_i$. By (2.4) and the fact $g(\nu, \nu) = 1$,

$$1 = X^i X^j \left[\delta_{ij} + O(\rho^{-\tau})\right], \tag{2.5}$$

which implies $X^i = O(1)$ and hence

$$\sum_i (X^i)^2 = 1 + O(\rho^{-\tau}). \tag{2.6}$$

Similarly, for $1 \leq \alpha \leq n-1$, the fact $g(\nu, e_\alpha) = 0$ and (2.4) imply

$$0 = X^\alpha + O(\rho^{-\tau}). \tag{2.7}$$

Therefore, by (2.6) and (2.7),

$$\nu - \hat{\nu} = X^\alpha e_\alpha + (X^n - 1)e_n = O(\rho^{-\tau}).$$

To compare A and $\hat{A}$ at p, we let W_ρ be a small open set in Σ_ρ containing p. Let U_ρ be a $\hat{g}$-Gaussian tubular neighborhood of W_ρ in M^n and let $\{u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1}, t\}$ be local coordinates on U_ρ such that, at $t = 0$, $\{u_\alpha \mid \alpha = 1, \ldots, n-1\}$ are local coordinates on W_ρ satisfying $\hat{\nabla}_{\partial_{u_\alpha}} \partial_{u_\beta} = 0$ at p. In U_ρ, suppose $\partial_{u_\alpha} = X^i_\alpha \partial_{x_i}$. Then

$$\nabla_{\partial_{u_\alpha}} \partial_{u_\beta} = X^i_\alpha \left(X^j_\beta \nabla_{\partial_{x_i}} \partial_{x_j} + \frac{\partial X^j_\beta}{\partial x_i} \partial_{x_j} \right),$$

$$\hat{\nabla}_{\partial_{u_\alpha}} \partial_{u_\beta} = X^i_\alpha \left(X^j_\beta \hat{\nabla}_{\partial_{x_i}} \partial_{x_j} + \frac{\partial X^j_\beta}{\partial x_i} \partial_{x_j} \right),$$

which shows, at p,

$$\nabla_{\partial_{u_\alpha}} \partial_{u_\beta} = \hat{\nabla}_{\partial_{u_\alpha}} \partial_{u_\beta} + O(\rho^{-1-\tau}).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_{\partial_{u_\alpha}} \partial_{u_\beta}, \nu) &= g(\hat{\nabla}_{\partial_{u_\alpha}} \partial_{u_\beta}, \nu) + O(\rho^{-1-\tau}) \\ &= g(\hat{\nabla}_{\partial_{u_\alpha}} \partial_{u_\beta}, \hat{\nu}) + O(\rho^{-\tau})|\hat{A}| + O(\rho^{-1-\tau}) \\ &= \hat{g}(\hat{\nabla}_{\partial_{u_\alpha}} \partial_{u_\beta}, \hat{\nu}) + O(\rho^{-\tau})|\hat{A}| + O(\rho^{-1-\tau}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

From this we conclude

$$|A - \hat{A}| = O(\rho^{-\tau})|\hat{A}| + O(\rho^{-1-\tau}). \tag{2.9}$$

□

Lemma 2.3. *For each large ρ, there exists $r(\rho) > 0$ with $r(\rho) \sim \rho$, meaning $C^{-1}\rho < r(\rho) < C\rho$ for some constant C independent on ρ, such that*

$$H = \frac{n-1}{r(\rho)} + O(\rho^{-1-\tau}).$$

Consequently, $|A| = O(\rho^{-1})$, $|\hat{A}| = O(\rho^{-1})$ and

$$\kappa_\alpha = \frac{1}{r(\rho)} + O(\rho^{-1-\tau}), \ \hat{\kappa}_\alpha = \frac{1}{r(\rho)} + O(\rho^{-1-\tau}),$$

for all $\alpha = 1, \ldots, n-1$, where κ_α and $\hat{\kappa}_\alpha$ denote the principal curvature of Σ_ρ with respect to g and $\hat{g}$ respectively.

Proof. As Σ_ρ is homeomorphic to a sphere, for each ρ large, there is an $r_1 = r_1(\rho) > 0$, such that $S_{r_1} = \{|x| = r_1\}$ is the largest coordinate sphere inside Σ_ρ. Let x_1 be a point where S_{r_1} touches Σ_ρ. It follows from the maximum principle that

$$H(x_1) \leq \bar{H}(x_1) \tag{2.10}$$

where $\bar{H}$ is the mean curvature of S_{r_1} in (M^n, g) with respect to the outward normal. Direct calculation gives

$$\bar{H}(x_1) = \frac{n-1}{r_1} + O(|r_1|^{-1-\tau}) \tag{2.11}$$

(cf. Lemma 2.1 in [8] when $n = 3$). By Lemma 2.1 and (iv) in Definition 2.1, H satisfies

$$|H - H(x_1)| \leq C\rho^{-1-\tau}. \tag{2.12}$$

Hence, it follows from (2.10) – (2.12) that

$$H \leq \frac{n-1}{r_1} + O(\rho^{-1-\tau}).$$

Similarly, if $r_2 > 0$ is the radius of the smallest coordinate sphere that lies outside of Σ_ρ, then

$$H \geq \frac{n-1}{r_2} + O(\rho^{-1-\tau}).$$

For any fixed point $x_* \in \Sigma_\rho$, these imply

$$\frac{n-1}{r_2} + O(\rho^{-1-\tau}) \leq H(x_*) \leq \frac{n-1}{r_1} + O(\rho^{-1-\tau}). \tag{2.13}$$

By the same reasoning leading to (2.12),

$$|H - H(x_*)| \leq C\rho^{-1-\tau}.$$

Hence, choosing $r(\rho) = \frac{n-1}{H(x_*)}$, we have

$$H = \frac{n-1}{r(\rho)} + O(\rho^{-1-\tau}),$$

where $r(\rho) \sim \rho$ by (2.13). As a result, A satisfies

$$A = \mathring{A} + \left[\frac{1}{r(\rho)} + O(\rho^{-1-\tau})\right]\sigma,$$

which implies $|A| = O(\rho^{-1})$ and

$$\kappa_\alpha = \frac{1}{r(\rho)} + O(\rho^{-1-\tau}),\ \forall\ \alpha$$

by (ii) in Definition 2.1. The claim on $|\hat{A}|$ and $\hat{\kappa}_\alpha$ now follows from Lemma 2.2. □

In the rest of this section, $r(\rho)$ will always denote the function of ρ given in Lemma 2.3.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $K_1(\rho)$ and $K_2(\rho)$ be the minimum and maximum of the sectional curvature of Σ_ρ respectively. Then*

$$K_1(\rho) = \frac{1}{r^2(\rho)} + O(\rho^{-2-\tau}), \quad K_2(\rho) = \frac{1}{r^2(\rho)} + O(\rho^{-2-\tau}).$$

Proof. This follows from the Gauss equation, Lemma 2.3 and the fact that the sectional curvature of (M^n, g) at $x \in \Sigma_\rho$ decays like $O(\rho^{-2-\tau})$. □

Lemma 2.5. *Let $X = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$ be the position vector given by the coordinates $\{x^i\}$ near infinity. For each large ρ, there exists a vector $\mathbf{a}(\rho) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $|\mathbf{a}(\rho)| = O(\rho)$ and, on Σ_ρ,*

$$|X - \mathbf{a}(\rho) - r(\rho)\hat{\nu}| = O(\rho^{1-\tau}).$$

Proof. At any $p \in \Sigma_\rho$, let $\{e_\alpha\} \subset T_p\Sigma_\rho$ be a $\hat{g}$-orthonormal frame that diagonalizes $\hat{A}$, i.e. $\hat{A}(e_\alpha, e_\beta) = \hat{\kappa}_\alpha \delta_{\alpha\beta}$, then

$$|\hat{\nabla}_{e_\alpha}(X - r(\rho)\hat{\nu})| = |e_\alpha - r(\rho)\hat{\kappa}_\alpha e_\alpha| = O(\rho^{-\tau})$$

by Lemma 2.3. This and (iv) in Definition 2.1 imply

$$|(X(p) - r(\rho)\hat{\nu}(p)) - (X(p_0) - r(\rho)\hat{\nu}(p_0))| = O(\rho^{1-\tau})$$

for all $p \in \Sigma_\rho$ and a fixed $p_0 \in \Sigma_\rho$. Let $\mathbf{a}(\rho) = X(p_0) - r(\rho)\hat{\nu}(p_0)$, the lemma follows. □

Lemma 2.6. *For large ρ, the volume $|\Sigma_\rho|$ satisfies*

$$\left(\frac{|\Sigma_\rho|}{\omega_{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} = r(\rho)(1 + O(\rho^{-\tau})).$$

Proof. Let $|\Sigma|_{\hat{g}}$ be the volume of Σ_ρ with respect to the metric induced from $\hat{g}$. Since $d\sigma = (1 + O(\rho^{-\tau}))d\hat{\sigma}$ by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\frac{|\Sigma_\rho|}{|\Sigma_\rho|_{\hat{g}}} = 1 + O(\rho^{-\tau}). \tag{2.14}$$

Now let $\mathbf{a}(\rho)$ be the vector given in Lemma 2.5, then

$$|X - \mathbf{a}(\rho)| = r(\rho)\left(1 + O(\rho^{-\tau})\right).$$

Hence, Σ_ρ contains a Euclidean sphere S_1, centered at $\mathbf{a}(\rho)$, of radius r_1, and is contained in another Euclidean sphere S_2, centered at $\mathbf{a}(\rho)$, of radius r_2, such that both r_1 and r_2 satisfy

$$r_1 = r(\rho)\left(1 + O(\rho^{-\tau})\right), \; r_2 = r(\rho)\left(1 + O(\rho^{-\tau})\right). \tag{2.15}$$

Let η be the Euclidean distance function from $\mathbf{a}(\rho)$. Integrating $\Delta_{\hat{g}}\eta$ over the domain bounded between Σ_ρ and S_1, where $\Delta_{\hat{g}}$ denotes the Euclidean Laplacian, we conclude

$$|\Sigma_\rho|_{\hat{g}} \geq |S_1|_{\hat{g}} = \omega_{n-1} r_1^{n-1}.$$

Hence,

$$\left(\frac{|\Sigma_\rho|_{\hat{g}}}{\omega_{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \geq r_1. \tag{2.16}$$

Next, let ζ be the Euclidean distance function from Σ_ρ, defined on the exterior of Σ_ρ. For large ρ, Σ_ρ is strictly convex in $\mathbb{R}^n$ by Lemma 2.4. Therefore, ζ is smooth and $\Delta_{\hat{g}}\zeta$ equals the mean curvature of the level set of ζ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and hence is positive. Integrating $\Delta_{\hat{g}}\zeta$ over the domain bounded by S_{r_2} and Σ_ρ, arguing as before, we conclude

$$\left(\frac{|\Sigma_\rho|_{\hat{g}}}{\omega_{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \leq r_2. \tag{2.17}$$

Hence, by (2.15) – (2.17),

$$\left(\frac{|\Sigma_\rho|_{\hat{g}}}{\omega_{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} = r(\rho)\left(1 + O(\rho^{-\tau})\right). \tag{2.18}$$

The lemma now follows from (2.14) and (2.18). □

When $n = 3$, Lemma 2.4 implies, for large ρ, Σ_ρ can be isometrically embedded in $\mathbb{R}^3$ as a convex surface (cf. [20]). In [24], Shi, Wang and Wu proved that the principal curvatures $\kappa_1^{(0)}$, $\kappa_2^{(0)}$ of such an embedding satisfy

$$\kappa_1^{(0)} = \frac{1}{r(\rho)} + O(\rho^{-1-\tau}) \ \text{ and } \ \kappa_2^{(0)} = \frac{1}{r(\rho)} + O(\rho^{-1-\tau}) \tag{2.19}$$

(see [24, Theorem 4] and its proof). In the next lemma, assuming the isometric embedding exists, we show that such estimates hold in higher dimensions .

Lemma 2.7. *Suppose $n \geq 4$ and assume Σ_ρ can be isometrically embedded in $\mathbb{R}^n$ when ρ is sufficiently large. Let $\Sigma_\rho^{(0)}$ be the image of the embedding. Let $\kappa_\alpha^{(0)}$, $\alpha = 1, \ldots, n-1$, be the principal curvatures of $\Sigma_\rho^{(0)}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then*

$$\kappa_\alpha^{(0)} = \frac{1}{r(\rho)} + O(\rho^{-1-\tau}).$$

Proof. Let H_0 be the mean curvature of $\Sigma_\rho^{(0)}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with respect to the outward normal. At a point $p_0 \in \Sigma_\rho^{(0)}$, let $\{e_\alpha^{(0)}\}$ be an orthonormal basis in $T_{p_0}\Sigma_\rho^{(0)}$ such that $e_\alpha^{(0)}$ points to the principal direction of $\Sigma_\rho^{(0)}$. Let $R^\rho_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$, $R^\rho_{\alpha\beta}$ and S^ρ be the intrinsic curvature tensor, the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature of $\Sigma_\rho^{(0)}$ respectively. For convenience, let $r = r(\rho)$. By Lemma 2.4,

$$R^\rho_{\alpha\alpha} = \frac{n-2}{r^2} + O(\rho^{-2-\tau}),\ S^\rho = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{r^2} + O(\rho^{-2-\tau}). \tag{2.20}$$

Moreover, by the Gauss equation,

$$\kappa_\alpha^{(0)}\kappa_\beta^{(0)} = R^\rho_{\alpha\beta\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{r^2} + O(\rho^{-2-\tau}),\ \forall\ \alpha \neq \beta. \tag{2.21}$$

In particular, for large ρ, this implies $\Sigma_\rho^{(0)}$ is convex, i.e. $\kappa_\alpha^{(0)} > 0$, $\forall\ \alpha$. As a result, $H_0 > 0$.

Summing over indices in (2.21) repeatedly, we have

$$R^\rho_{\alpha\alpha} = H_0\kappa_\alpha^{(0)} - (\kappa_\alpha^{(0)})^2 \tag{2.22}$$

and

$$S^\rho = H_0^2 - |\mathbb{II}_0|^2 \tag{2.23}$$

where $\mathbb{II}_0$ is the second fundamental form of $\Sigma_\rho^{(0)}$. By (2.23) and (2.20),

$$H_0^2 \geq \frac{1}{n-1}H_0^2 + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{r^2} + O(\rho^{-2-\tau}),$$

which implies

$$H_0 \geq \frac{n-1}{r} + O(\rho^{-1-\tau}). \tag{2.24}$$

because $H_0 > 0$. On the other hand, by (2.22),

$$\kappa_\alpha^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2}\left[H_0 \pm (H_0^2 - 4R^\rho_{\alpha\alpha})^{\frac{1}{2}}\right].$$

We claim that,

$$\kappa_\alpha^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2}\left[H_0 - (H_0^2 - 4R^\rho_{\alpha\alpha})^{\frac{1}{2}}\right],\ \forall\ \alpha. \tag{2.25}$$

Suppose not, without losing generality, we may assume

$$\kappa_1^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2}\left[H_0 + (H_0^2 - 4R^\rho_{11})^{\frac{1}{2}}\right].$$

Then $\kappa_1^{(0)} \geq \frac{1}{2}H_0$. This implies, $\forall\ \alpha > 1$,

$$\kappa_\alpha^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{4R^\rho_{\alpha\alpha}}{H_0 + (H_0^2 - 4R^\rho_{\alpha\alpha})^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \tag{2.26}$$

for otherwise we would have $\kappa_1^{(0)} + \sum_{\alpha>1} \kappa_\beta^{(0)} > H_0$ since $n \geq 4$ and $\kappa_\alpha^{(0)} > 0$, which is a contradiction. By (2.20) and (2.24),

$$\begin{aligned} H_0^2 - 4R^\rho_{\alpha\alpha} &\geq \frac{(n-1)^2}{r^2} - \frac{4(n-2)}{r^2} + O(\rho^{-2-\tau}) \\ &= \frac{(n-3)^2}{r^2} + O(\rho^{-2-\tau}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

Hence, it follows from (2.24), (2.26) and (2.27) that, $\forall\ \alpha > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_\alpha^{(0)} &\leq \frac{\frac{2(n-2)}{r^2} + O(\rho^{-2-\tau})}{\frac{2(n-2)}{r} + O(\rho^{-1-\tau})} \\ &= \frac{1}{r} + O(\rho^{-1-\tau}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

On the other hand, for any β, γ such that $\beta > 1$, $\gamma > 1$ and $\beta \neq \gamma$,

$$\kappa_\beta^{(0)} \kappa_\gamma^{(0)} = \frac{1}{r^2} + O(\rho^{-2-\tau}) \tag{2.29}$$

by (2.21). (As $n \geq 4$, such indices β and γ exist.) Hence, (2.28) and (2.29) show

$$\frac{1}{r^2} + O(\rho^{-2-\tau}) \leq \kappa_\beta^{(0)} \left(\frac{1}{r} + O(\rho^{-1-\tau}) \right),$$

which gives

$$\kappa_\beta^{(0)} \geq \frac{1}{r} + O(\rho^{-1-\tau}). \tag{2.30}$$

Therefore, by (2.28) and (2.30),

$$\kappa_\alpha^{(0)} = \frac{1}{r} + O(\rho^{-1-\tau}), \tag{2.31}$$

for all $\alpha > 1$, But then

$$\frac{1}{r^2} + O(\rho^{-2-\tau}) = \kappa_1^{(0)} \kappa_2^{(0)} \geq \frac{1}{2} H_0 \kappa_2^{(0)} \geq \frac{n-1}{2r^2} + O(\rho^{-2-\tau}),$$

which is impossible since $n \geq 4$. Therefore, the claim (2.25) holds. Now (2.26) is valid for all $\alpha \geq 1$. Repeating the argument leading to (2.31), we conclude (2.31) holds for all $\alpha \geq 1$. This completes the proof. □

We now recall the statement of Theorem 1.2 and give its proof.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\{\Sigma_\rho\}$ be a family of nearly round hypersurfaces in an asymptotically flat manifold (M^n, g) of dimension $n \geq 3$. Then*

$$\lim_{\rho\to\infty} \frac{c_n}{2}\left(\frac{|\Sigma_\rho|}{\omega_{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \int_{\Sigma_\rho}\left(S^\rho - \frac{n-2}{n-1}H^2\right) d\sigma = \mathfrak{m}. \tag{2.32}$$

If in addition Σ_ρ can be isometrically embedded in $\mathbb{R}^n$ when ρ is sufficiently large, which is automatically satisfied if $n = 3$, then

$$\lim_{\rho\to\infty} 2b_n \int_{\Sigma_\rho} (H_0 - H) d\sigma = \mathfrak{m} \tag{2.33}$$

and

$$\lim_{\rho\to\infty} c_n \left(\frac{|\Sigma_\rho|}{\omega_{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \int_{\Sigma_\rho} \sum_{\alpha<\beta}\left(\kappa^{(0)}_\alpha \kappa^{(0)}_\beta - \kappa_\alpha\kappa_\beta\right) d\sigma = \mathfrak{m}. \tag{2.34}$$

Here $|\Sigma_\rho|$, S^ρ are the volume, the scalar curvature of Σ_ρ, respectively; H, $\{\kappa_\alpha\}$ are the mean curvature, the principal curvatures of Σ_ρ in (M^n, g), respectively; H_0, $\{\kappa^{(0)}_\alpha\}$ are the mean curvature, the principal curvatures of the isometric embedding of Σ_ρ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, respectively; and $\mathfrak{m}$ is the total mass of (M^n, g).

Proof. For simplicity, denote G^g_0 by G and denote $r(\rho)$ by r. On Σ_ρ, by Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(X,\nu) &= G(r\hat{\nu},\nu) + G(\mathbf{a}(\rho),\nu) + O(\rho^{-1-2\tau}) \\ &= rG(\nu,\nu) + G(\mathbf{a}(\rho),\nu) + O(\rho^{-1-2\tau}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.35}$$

We first estimate $\int_{\Sigma_\rho} G(\mathbf{a}(\rho),\nu)d\sigma$. To do so, we note the following asymptotic formulae of $\mathrm{Ric}(g)$ and $\mathcal{S}_g$ (see (2.2) and (2.6) in [17]):

$$2\mathrm{Ric}(g)_{ij} = g_{ki,kj} + g_{kj,ki} - g_{ij,kk} - g_{kk,ij} + O(\rho^{-2-2\tau}), \tag{2.36}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_g = g_{ik,ik} - g_{kk,ii} + O(\rho^{-2-2\tau}). \tag{2.37}$$

Here and below, summation is performed over any pair of repeated indices.

Write $\mathbf{a}(\rho) = (a^1, \ldots, a^n)$, which is a constant vector when ρ is fixed. By Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.5, (2.36) and (2.37), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2G(\mathbf{a}(\rho),\nu)d\sigma = \Big[&\left(g_{ki,kj} + g_{kj,ki} - g_{ij,kk} - g_{kk,ij}\right) a^i \hat{\nu}^j \\ &- \left(g_{ik,ik} - g_{kk,ii}\right) a^m \hat{\nu}^m + O(\rho^{-1-2\tau})\Big] d\hat{\sigma}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.38}$$

In what follows, we arbitrarily extend g_{ij} as a smooth function on the whole Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that g_{ij} remains unchanged on

$\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{|x| \leq 2r_0\}$ (see Definition 1.1). We identify Σ_ρ with its image under the diffeomorphism that defines the coordinates $\{x_i\}$. Let $D_\rho \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be the bounded region enclosed by Σ_ρ and let $d\hat{V}$ be the Euclidean volume form on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Sigma_\rho} \Big[\left(g_{ki,kj} + g_{kj,ki} - g_{ij,kk} - g_{kk,ij}\right) a^i \hat{\nu}^j] d\hat{\sigma} \\ = & \int_{D_\rho} \left(g_{ki,kjj} + g_{kj,kij} - g_{ij,kkj} - g_{kk,ijj}\right) a^i d\hat{V} \\ = & \int_{D_\rho} \left(g_{kj,kij} - g_{kk,ijj}\right) a^i d\hat{V} \\ = & \int_{\Sigma_\rho} \left(g_{kj,kj} - g_{kk,jj}\right) a^i \hat{\nu}^i d\hat{\sigma}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.39}$$

It follows from (2.38), (2.39) and (iii) in Definition 2.1 that

$$2 \int_{\Sigma_\rho} G(\mathbf{a}(\rho), \nu) d\sigma = o(1). \tag{2.40}$$

By (2.35) and (2.40), we conclude

$$\int_{\Sigma_\rho} G(X, \nu) d\sigma = r \int_{\Sigma_\rho} G(\nu, \nu) d\sigma + o(1). \tag{2.41}$$

The Gauss equation implies

$$\begin{aligned} G(\nu, \nu) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(H^2 - |A|^2 - S^\rho\right) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha < \beta} (\kappa_\alpha \kappa_\beta - \kappa_\alpha^{(0)} \kappa_\beta^{(0)}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.42}$$

By Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.7, when $n \geq 4$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \kappa_\alpha \kappa_\beta - \kappa_\alpha^{(0)} \kappa_\beta^{(0)} \\ = & \left(\kappa_\alpha - \kappa_\alpha^{(0)}\right) \kappa_\beta + \left(\kappa_\beta - \kappa_\beta^{(0)}\right) \kappa_\alpha^{(0)} \\ = & \left(\kappa_\alpha - \kappa_\alpha^{(0)}\right) \left(\kappa_\beta - \frac{1}{r}\right) + \left(\kappa_\beta - \kappa_\beta^{(0)}\right) \left(\kappa_\alpha^{(0)} - \frac{1}{r}\right) \\ & + \frac{1}{r} \left(\kappa_\alpha + \kappa_\beta - \kappa_\alpha^{(0)} - \kappa_\beta^{(0)}\right) \\ = & \frac{1}{r} \left(\kappa_\alpha + \kappa_\beta - \kappa_\alpha^{(0)} - \kappa_\beta^{(0)}\right) + O(\rho^{-2-2\tau}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.43}$$

When $n = 3$, (2.43) is also true by Lemma 2.3 and the estimate (2.19). Therefore, by (2.42), (2.43) and the fact $|\mathring{A}| = O(\rho^{-1-\tau})$, we have

$$r \int_{\Sigma_\rho} G(\nu, \nu) d\sigma = (n-2) \int_{\Sigma_\rho} (H - H_0) d\sigma + o(1) \tag{2.44}$$

and

$$r \int_{\Sigma_\rho} G(\nu, \nu) d\sigma = r \int_{\Sigma_\rho} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{n-2}{n-1} H^2 - S^\rho \right) d\sigma + o(1). \tag{2.45}$$

The theorem now follows readily from (2.41), (2.42), (2.44), (2.45), Lemma 2.6 and Theorem 1.1(i) with $\{S_r\}$ replaced by $\{\Sigma_\rho\}$ (see [17, Theorem 2.1]). □

3. Limits of quasi-local mass integrals in AH manifolds

Given a compact manifold (Ω^n, g) with boundary Σ and assuming Σ can be isometrically embedded in $\mathbb{H}^n$, motivated by the work [26, 23], we are interested in the vector-valued integral

$$\mathbf{m}(\Omega, \Sigma) = \int_\Sigma (H_0 - H)\, \mathbf{x}\, d\sigma, \tag{3.1}$$

where H is the mean curvature of Σ in (Ω^n, g), H_0 is the mean curvature of the embedding of Σ in $\mathbb{H}^n$, and $\mathbf{x}$ is the position vector of points in $\mathbb{H}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n,1}$, where

$$\mathbb{H}^n = \{(x^0, x^1, \dots, x^n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n,1} |\ (x^0)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n (x^i)^2 = 1, x^0 > 0\}. \tag{3.2}$$

When $n = 3$, if Σ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^2$ with Gaussian curvature larger than -1, then Σ can be isometrically embedded in $\mathbb{H}^3$ and the embedding is unique up to isometries of $\mathbb{H}^3$ (cf. [21]). Note that the integral in (3.1) depends also on the embedding of Σ.

In this section, we are interested in analyzing the asymptotic behavior of $\mathbf{m}(\Omega_\rho, \Sigma_\rho)$, together with other related geometric quantities, as $\rho \to \infty$. Here Ω_ρ is the bounded domain enclosed by Σ_ρ on an asymptotically hyperbolic manifold (M^n, g) defined in Definition 1.2. We continue to use H, S^ρ to denote the mean curvature, the scalar curvature respectively of the hypersurfaces Σ_ρ, which are the geodesic spheres in the background $\mathbb{H}^n$.

Recall that the ball model (B^n, g_0) of $\mathbb{H}^n$ is given by

$$B^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n |\ |x| < 1\}, \quad g_0 = \frac{4}{(1 - |x|^2)^2} g_e,$$

where g_e is the standard Euclidean metric.

Theorem 3.1. *Let (M^n, g) be an asymptotically hyperbolic manifold. Let Σ_ρ be the hypersurface in M^n corresponding to the geodesic sphere of radius ρ in $\mathbb{H}^n$ as specified in Definition 1.2. Let $\{V^{(i)}\}_{0\leq i\leq n-1}$ be the functions given in* (1.6)*. Let $|\Sigma_\rho|$ denote the volume of Σ_ρ and $d\sigma_\rho$ be the volume element on Σ_ρ. Then the following are true*

(I) (a)

$$\mathbf{M}(g)(V^{(0)})$$
$$= \lim_{\rho\to\infty} \frac{c_n}{2}\left(\frac{|\Sigma_\rho|}{\omega_{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \int_{\Sigma_\rho}\left[S^\rho - \frac{n-2}{n-1}H^2 + (n-1)(n-2)\right] d\sigma_\rho.$$

(b) *If $\tau > n-1$, then for $1\leq i\leq n$,*

$$\mathbf{M}(g)(V^{(i)})$$
$$= \lim_{\rho\to\infty} \frac{c_n}{2}\left(\frac{|\Sigma_\rho|}{\omega_{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \int_{\Sigma_\rho}\frac{x^i}{|x|}\left[S^\rho - \frac{n-2}{n-1}H^2 + (n-1)(n-2)\right] d\sigma_\rho,$$

where $\{x^i\}$ are the coordinate functions in the ball model (B^n, g_0) of $\mathbb{H}^n$.

(II) *Let g_ρ denote the metric on Σ_ρ induced from g. Suppose (Σ_ρ, g_ρ) can be isometrically embedded in $\mathbb{H}^n$ for sufficiently large ρ, which is automatically satisfied when $n = 3$.*

(a) *Suppose $\tau > n-1$. If $n = 3$, also assume the decay conditions as in Lemma 3.3. Then, for each $0\leq i\leq n$,*

$$\mathbf{M}(g)(V^{(i)}) = 2b_n \lim_{\rho\to\infty}\int_{\Sigma_\rho}(H_0 - H)V^{(i)}\, d\sigma_\rho,$$

where H, H_0 are the mean curvature of Σ_ρ in (M^n, g), $\mathbb{H}^n$ respectively.

(b) *Suppose $\tau > n-1$ for $n\geq 4$ and $\tau > \frac{5}{2}$ if $n = 3$. If $n = 3$, also assume the decay conditions as in Lemma 3.3. Suppose $\mathbb{H}^n$ is embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{n,1}$ as in* (3.2)*, then there exist isometric embeddings of (Σ_ρ, g_ρ) in $\mathbb{H}^n$ such that*

$$\mathbf{M}(g)(V) = 2b_n \lim_{\rho\to\infty}\int_{\Sigma_\rho}(H_0 - H)\mathbf{x}\, d\sigma_\rho,$$

where $\mathbf{M}(g)(V) = \big(\mathbf{M}(g)(V^{(0)}), \ldots, \mathbf{M}(g)(V^{(n)})\big)$ and $\mathbf{x}$ is the position vector of the embedding of Σ_ρ in $\mathbb{H}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n,1}$.

We will prove Theorem 3.1 via a series of lemmas. First, we fix some notations. Let h_0 be the standard metric on the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Choose finitely many geodesic balls of radius 2 in $(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, h_0)$, so that the geodesic balls of radius 1 with the same centers cover $\mathbb{S}^{(n-1)}$. In each

geodesic ball, fix an orthonormal frame $\{f_i\}_{1\le i\le n-1}$. Let $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{0\le i\le n-1}$ be given as in Definition 1.2. Let ∇, $\widetilde{\nabla}$ denote the covariant derivatives with respect to g, g_0 respectively, where g_0 is the hyperbolic metric on $\mathbb{H}^n$. Let $g_{ij} = g(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j)$, $g_{0ij} = g_0(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j)$, and define $\{\Gamma_{ij}^k\}$ and $\{\widetilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^k\}$ by:

$$\nabla_{\varepsilon_i}\varepsilon_j = \Gamma_{ij}^k\varepsilon_k, \quad \widetilde{\nabla}_{\varepsilon_i}\varepsilon_j = \widetilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^k\varepsilon_k. \tag{3.3}$$

Direct computation gives

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{ij}^k &= \frac{1}{2}g^{kl}\left[\varepsilon_i(g_{jl}) + \varepsilon_j(g_{il}) - \varepsilon_l(g_{ij})\right.\\ &\qquad \left. -g([\varepsilon_i,\varepsilon_l],\varepsilon_j) - g([\varepsilon_j,\varepsilon_l],\varepsilon_i) + g([\varepsilon_i,\varepsilon_j],\varepsilon_l)\right],\\ \widetilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^k &= \frac{1}{2}\delta^{kl}\left[-g_0([\varepsilon_i,\varepsilon_l],\varepsilon_j) - g_0([\varepsilon_j,\varepsilon_l],\varepsilon_i) + g_0([\varepsilon_i,\varepsilon_j],\varepsilon_l)\right],\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

for $0 \le i, j, k \le n-1$;

$$[\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_i] = -\frac{\cosh\rho}{\sinh\rho}\varepsilon_i, \quad [\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j] = \frac{1}{(\sinh\rho)}\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\lambda_{ij}^k\varepsilon_k, \tag{3.5}$$

for $1 \le i, j \le n-1$, where $\{\lambda_{ij}^k\}$ are smooth functions in the geodesic balls of radius 2 in $(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, h_0)$, and

$$\begin{aligned}R(\varepsilon_i,\varepsilon_j,\varepsilon_k,\varepsilon_l) &= g_{pl}\left\{\varepsilon_i(\Gamma_{jk}^p) - \varepsilon_j(\Gamma_{ik}^p)\right.\\ &\qquad \left. +\Gamma_{jk}^q\Gamma_{iq}^p - \Gamma_{ik}^q\Gamma_{jq}^p - (\Gamma_{ij}^q - \Gamma_{ji}^q)\Gamma_{qk}^p\right\}\\ \widetilde{R}(\varepsilon_i,\varepsilon_j,\varepsilon_k,\varepsilon_l) &= \delta_{pl}\Big\{\varepsilon_i(\widetilde{\Gamma}_{jk}^p) - \varepsilon_j(\widetilde{\Gamma}_{ik}^p)\\ &\qquad +\widetilde{\Gamma}_{jk}^q\widetilde{\Gamma}_{iq}^p - \widetilde{\Gamma}_{ik}^q\widetilde{\Gamma}_{jq}^p - (\widetilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^q - \widetilde{\Gamma}_{ji}^q)\widetilde{\Gamma}_{qk}^p\Big\},\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where R and $\widetilde{R}$ are the curvature tensors of g and g_0 respectively, It follows from (3.4) – (3.6) that

$$|\Gamma_{ij}^k - \widetilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^k| = O(e^{-\tau\rho}) \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$|R(X,Y,Z,W) - \widetilde{R}(X,Y,Z,W)| \le O(e^{-\tau\rho})|X|_{g_0}|Y|_{g_0}|Z|_{g_0}|W|_{g_0} \tag{3.8}$$

for tangent vectors X, Y, Z, W.

Remark 3.1. Because $\{\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n-1}\}$ are tangential to Σ_ρ, if we restrict all indices used in (3.3) – (3.8) to the set $\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, then we obtain comparison between corresponding quantities on (Σ_ρ, g_ρ) and (Σ_ρ, g_ρ^0). Here g_ρ, g_ρ^0 denote the induced metric on Σ_ρ from g, g_0 respectively.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\nu, \widetilde{\nu}$ be the unit outward normals to Σ_ρ and $A, \widetilde{A}$ be the second fundamental forms of Σ_ρ with respect to g, g_0 respectively. Then*

(i) $|\nu - \widetilde{\nu}|_{g_0} = O(e^{-\tau\rho})$.
(ii) $\widetilde{A}(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) = (\coth\rho)\delta_{ij}$, *for* $1 \le i, j \le n-1$.
(iii) $|A - \widetilde{A}|_{g_0} = O(e^{-\tau\rho})$.

Proof. (i) This follows from the fact $\nu = \frac{\nabla\rho}{|\nabla\rho|_g}$ and

$$\nabla\rho = g^{ij}\varepsilon_j(\rho)\varepsilon_i = g^{i0}\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_0 + O(e^{-\tau\rho}) = \widetilde{\nu} + O(e^{-\tau\rho}).$$

(ii) For $1 \le i \le n-1$, by (3.4),

$$\widetilde{A}(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) = -g_0(\widetilde{\nabla}_{\varepsilon_i}\varepsilon_j, \varepsilon_0) = -\widetilde{\Gamma}^0_{ij} = (\coth\rho)\delta_{ij}.$$

(ii) For $1 \le i, j \le n-1$, by (3.4) and (3.7),

$$\begin{aligned} & A(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) - \widetilde{A}(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) \\ =& -g(\nabla_{\varepsilon_i}\varepsilon_j, \nu) + g_0(\widetilde{\nabla}_{\varepsilon_i}\varepsilon_j, \widetilde{\nu}) \\ =& -g(\nabla_{\varepsilon_i}\varepsilon_j - \widetilde{\nabla}_{\varepsilon_i}\varepsilon_j, \nu) - (g - g_0)(\widetilde{\nabla}_{\varepsilon_i}\varepsilon_j, \nu) \\ & - g_0(\widetilde{\nabla}_{\varepsilon_i}\varepsilon_j, \nu - \widetilde{\nu}) \\ =& O(e^{-\tau\rho}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.2. *Let* $\{\kappa_i\}_{1\le i\le n-1}$ *and* H *be the principal curvatures and the mean curvature of* Σ_ρ *in* (M^n, g) *with respect to* ν*, respectively. Let* K *be the sectional curvature associated to a tangent plane in* (Σ_ρ, g_ρ)*, where* g_ρ *is the induced metric from* g*. Then*

$$\begin{cases} \kappa_i = & \coth\rho + O(e^{-\tau\rho}) \\ H = & (n-1)\coth\rho + O(e^{-\tau\rho}) \\ K = & (\sinh\rho)^{-2} + O(e^{-\tau\rho}). \end{cases}$$

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3.1, (3.8) and the Gauss equation. (The estimate on K can also be obtained by applying Remark 3.1.) □

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose* (Σ_ρ, g_ρ) *can be isometrically embedded in* $\mathbb{H}^n$ *for large* ρ*. Let* $\{\kappa_i^{(0)}\}_{1\le i\le n-1}$ *be the principal curvatures of* $\Sigma_\rho \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ *with respect to the outward unit normal* ν_0*. Then, for* $n \ge 4$*,*

$$\kappa_i^{(0)} = \coth\rho + O(e^{-\tau\rho}).$$

When $n = 3$*, in addition to the asymptotic conditions specified in Definition 1.2, if for* $1 \le i, j, k, l, p, q \le 2$*,*

$$\varepsilon_p(\varepsilon_k(\varepsilon_l(g_{ij}))) = O(e^{-(\tau+1)}\rho), \varepsilon_q(\varepsilon_p(\varepsilon_k(\varepsilon_l(g_{ij})))) = O(e^{-(\tau+2)}\rho), \tag{3.9}$$

then the same conclusion also holds.

Proof. Let H_0, A_0 be the mean curvature, the second fundamental form of $\Sigma_\rho \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ with respect to ν_0, respectively. At a point p in Σ_ρ, let $\{e_i\}_{1\le i\le n-1}$ be an orthonormal frame in $T_p\Sigma_\rho$ that diagonalizes A_0. Let R^ρ_{ijkl}, R^ρ_{ij} and S^ρ be the intrinsic curvature tensor, the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature of (Σ_ρ, g_ρ). By the Gauss equation and Lemma 3.2,

$$\begin{cases} \kappa_i^{(0)}\kappa_j^{(0)} &= R^\rho_{ijij} + 1 = \coth^2\rho + O(e^{-\tau\rho}),\ i\neq j \\ R^\rho_{ii} &= (n-2)(\sinh\rho)^{-2} + O(e^{-\tau\rho}) \\ S^\rho &= (n-1)(n-2)(\sinh\rho)^{-2} + O(e^{-\tau\rho}) \end{cases} \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} H_0^2 &= (n-1)(n-2) + S^\rho + |A_0|^2 \\ &\ge (n-1)(n-2)\coth^2\rho + \frac{1}{n-1}H_0^2 + O(e^{-\tau\rho}). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $H_0 \neq 0$ and hence $H_0 > 0$ for large ρ (as Σ_ρ is compact). Therefore,

$$H_0 \ge (n-1)\coth\rho + O(e^{-\tau\rho}). \tag{3.11}$$

Next, we consider the case $n \ge 4$ first. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 2.7. By the Gauss equation,

$$R^\rho_{ii} + (n-2) = H_0\kappa_i^{(0)} - (\kappa_i^{(0)})^2,$$

which shows

$$\kappa_i^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2}\left[H_0 \pm \sqrt{H_0^2 - 4(R^\rho_{ii} + (n-2))}\right].$$

In particular, $\kappa_i^{(0)} > 0$. We claim

$$\kappa_i^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2}\left[H_0 - \sqrt{H_0^2 - 4(R^\rho_{ii} + (n-2))}\right].$$

If not, without loss of generality, suppose

$$\kappa_1^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2}\left[H_0 + \sqrt{H_0^2 - 4(R^\rho_{ii} + (n-2))}\right].$$

By (3.10) and (3.11),

$$\begin{aligned} &H_0^2 - 4(R^\rho_{ii} + (n-2)) \\ &\ge (n-1)^2\coth^2\rho - 4(n-2)\coth^2\rho + O(e^{-\tau\rho}) \\ &= (n-3)^2\coth^2\rho + O(e^{-\tau\rho}) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

for large ρ, as $n \geq 4$. Hence $\kappa_1^{(0)} > \frac{1}{2}H_0$. This implies, for $i \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_i^{(0)} =& \frac{1}{2}\left[H_0 - \sqrt{H_0^2 - 4(R_{ii}^{\rho} + (n-2))}\right] \\ =& \frac{1}{2}\frac{4(R_{ii}^{(\rho)} + (n-2))}{H_0 + \sqrt{H_0^2 - 4(R_{ii}^{\rho} + (n-2))}} \\ \leq& \coth\rho + O(e^{-\tau\rho}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

for otherwise we would have $\kappa_1^{(0)} + \kappa_i^{(0)} > H_0$, for some $i \neq 1$, which is impossible.

Now for $i \neq j$ both larger than 1, by (3.10) and (3.12),

$$\kappa_i^{(0)}\left(\coth\rho + O(e^{-\tau\rho})\right) \geq \kappa_i^{(0)}\kappa_j^{(0)} = \coth^2\rho + O(e^{-\tau\rho}).$$

Hence,

$$\kappa_i^{(0)} \geq \coth\rho + O(e^{-\tau\rho}).$$

Combining this with (3.12), we have for $i \geq 2$,

$$\kappa_i^{(0)} = \coth\rho + O(e^{-\tau\rho}). \tag{3.13}$$

But then it follows from (3.10), (3.11) and (3.13) that, for $i \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \coth^2\rho + O(e^{-\tau\rho}) =& \kappa_i^{(0)}\kappa_1^{(0)} \\ \geq& \left(\coth\rho + O(e^{-\tau\rho})\right)\frac{H_0}{2} \\ =& \frac{(n-1)}{2}\coth^2\rho + O(e^{-\tau\rho}), \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible since $n-1 \geq 3$. Hence, (3.12) is valid for all $i \geq 1$. Repeating the argument leading to (3.13) for any $i \neq j$, we conclude that (3.13) holds for all $i \geq 1$. This proves the case $n \geq 4$.

When $n = 3$, using the assumption (3.9) and the fact $\Gamma_{ij}^k = O(e^{-\rho})$, for all $1 \leq i, j, k \leq 2$, one checks that

$$\Delta_{g_\rho} S^\rho = O(e^{-(\tau+2)\rho}), \tag{3.14}$$

where Δ_{g_ρ} is the Laplacian on (Σ_ρ, g_ρ). Then by [15, Lemma 2.3] (see also [16]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_0^2 \leq& \max_{\Sigma_\rho}\left(\frac{2(S^\rho+2)^2 - 4(S^\rho+2) - \Delta_{g_\rho}S^\rho}{S^\rho}\right) \\ =& 4\coth^2\rho + O(e^{-\tau\rho}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$H_0 \leq 2\coth\rho + O(e^{-\tau\rho}),$$

which together with (3.11) shows

$$H_0 = 2\coth\rho + O(e^{-\tau\rho}). \tag{3.15}$$

Combining (3.15) with (3.10), we conclude

$$\kappa_\alpha^{(0)} = \coth\rho + O(e^{-\tau\rho}),\ \forall\ \alpha = 1, 2.$$

This completes the proof. □

On the ball model (B^n, g_0) of $\mathbb{H}^n$, the vector fields $X^{(i)}$, $0 \le i \le n$, defined by

$$X^{(0)} = x^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j},\quad X^{(k)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k},\ k = 1, \dots, n,$$

are conformal Killing vector fields. Let $r = |x|$ and define

$$\rho = \ln\left(\frac{1+r}{1-r}\right),$$

then $g_0 = d\rho^2 + (\sinh\rho)^2 h_0$. In particular, this shows

$$X^{(0)} = \sinh\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho},\quad V^{(k)} = (\sinh\rho) r^{-1} x^k,\ k = 1, \dots, n, \tag{3.16}$$

Recall that $V^{(0)}$ and $V^{(k)}$ are defined in (1.6).

Lemma 3.4. *Let $|\Sigma_\rho|$ denote the volume of (Σ_ρ, g_ρ). As $\rho \to \infty$,*

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\Sigma_\rho} G^g_{-1}(X^{(0)}, \nu) d\sigma_\rho \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{|\Sigma_\rho|}{\omega_{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \int_{\Sigma_\rho} \left[\frac{n-2}{n-1} H^2 - S^\rho - (n-1)(n-2)\right] d\sigma_\rho + o(1).\end{aligned}$$

Here $d\sigma_\rho$ is the volume element of g_ρ on Σ_ρ.

Proof. Denote G^g_{-1} by G. As (M^n, g) is asymptotically hyperbolic,

$$|\Sigma_\rho| = (\sinh\rho)^{n-1}\omega_{n-1}(1 + O(e^{-\tau\rho})). \tag{3.17}$$

Using (3.8), Lemma 3.1 and the fact $\tau > \frac{n}{2}$, as $\rho \to \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Sigma_\rho} G(X^{(0)}, \nu) d\sigma_\rho &= \int_{\Sigma_\rho} \sinh\rho\ G(\widetilde{\nu}, \nu) d\sigma_\rho \\ &= \int_{\Sigma_\rho} \sinh\rho\ G(\nu, \nu) d\sigma_\rho + o(1).\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

By the Gauss equation,

$$\begin{aligned} G(\nu,\nu) &= \frac{1}{2}\left[H^2 - |A|^2 - S^\rho - (n-1)(n-2)\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{n-2}{n-1}H^2 - S^\rho - (n-1)(n-2)\right] + O(e^{-2\tau\rho}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

where we also have used the fact

$$|A|^2 = \frac{1}{n-1}H^2 + O(e^{-2\tau\rho})$$

which follows from Lemma 3.2. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Sigma_\rho} G(X^{(0)},\nu)d\sigma_\rho \\ =&\frac{1}{2}\sinh\rho\int_{\Sigma_\rho}\left[\frac{n-2}{n-1}H^2 - S^\rho - (n-1)(n-2)\right]d\sigma_\rho + o(1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

The lemma now follows from (3.17) and (3.20). □

Lemma 3.5. *For each large ρ, suppose $\iota_\rho : (\Sigma_\rho, g_\rho) \to \mathbb{H}^n$ is an isometric embedding such that its principal curvatures $\{\kappa_i^{(0)}\}_{1\le i\le n-1}$ satisfy*

$$\kappa_i^{(0)} = \coth\rho + O(e^{-\tau\rho}),$$

as $\rho\to\infty$. Then

$$\int_{\Sigma_\rho}(H_0 - H)V^{(0)}d\sigma_\rho = -\frac{1}{n-2}\int_{\Sigma_\rho} G^g_{-1}(X^{(0)},\nu)d\sigma_\rho + o(1).$$

Here H_0 is the mean curvature of the embedding ι_ρ.

Proof. Denote G^g_{-1} by G. Apply the Gauss equation to $\iota_\rho(\Sigma_\rho)\subset\mathbb{H}^n$, we have

$$0 = \sum_{i<j}(\kappa_i^{(0)}\kappa_j^{(0)}) - S^\rho - (n-1)(n-2). \tag{3.21}$$

Therefore, by (3.18), (3.19) and (3.21),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma_\rho} G(X^{(0)},\nu)d\sigma_\rho &= \int_{\Sigma_\rho}\sinh\rho\; G(\nu,\nu)d\sigma_\rho + o(1) \\ &= \int_{\Sigma_\rho}\sinh\rho\sum_{i<j}(\kappa_i\kappa_j - \kappa_i^{(0)}\kappa_j^{(0)})d\sigma_\rho + o(1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Now by the assumption on $\kappa_i^{(0)}$ and by Lemma 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_i\kappa_j - \kappa_i^{(0)}\kappa_j^{(0)} &= \left(\kappa_i - \kappa_i^{(0)}\right)\kappa_j + \left(\kappa_j - \kappa_j^{(0)}\right)\kappa_i^{(0)} \\ &= \left(\kappa_i - \kappa_i^{(0)}\right)(\kappa_j - \coth\rho) + \left(\kappa_j - \kappa_j^{(0)}\right)\left(\kappa_i^{(0)} - \coth\rho\right) \\ &\quad + \coth\rho\left(\kappa_i + \kappa_j - \kappa_i^{(0)} - \kappa_j^{(0)}\right) \\ &= \coth\rho\left(\kappa_i + \kappa_j - \kappa_i^{(0)} - \kappa_j^{(0)}\right) + O(e^{-2\tau\rho}).\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{i<j}(\kappa_i\kappa_j - \kappa_i^{(0)}\kappa_j^{(0)}) = (n-2)\coth\rho\,(H - H_0) + O(e^{-2\tau\rho}).$$

Combining this with (3.22), we have

$$\int_{\Sigma_\rho} G(X^{(0)},\nu)d\sigma_\rho = -(n-2)\int_{\Sigma_\rho}\cosh\rho\,(H_0 - H)d\sigma_\rho + o(1).$$

which proves the lemma. □

Lemma 3.6. *With the same assumptions and notation as in Lemma 3.5, suppose in addition $\tau > n-1$, then*

$$\int_{\Sigma_\rho}(H_0 - H)V^{(k)}d\sigma_\rho = -\frac{1}{n-2}\int_{\Sigma_\rho} G^g_{-1}(X^{(k)},\nu)d\sigma_\rho + o(1)$$

as $\rho\to\infty$, $1\le k\le n$.

Proof. We still denote G^g_{-1} by G. For a fixed k, denote $X^{(k)}$ by Y. Decompose $Y = Y_1 + Z$, where $Y_1 = r^{-2}x^kX^{(0)}$ and Z is normal to $X^{(0)}$ with respect to g_0. By the proof of Lemma 3.5, we have

(3.23)

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Sigma_\rho} G(Y_1,\nu)d\sigma_\rho &= \int_{\Sigma_\rho}\frac{x^k}{r^2}G(X^{(0)},\nu)d\sigma_\rho \\ &= -(n-2)\int_{\Sigma_\rho}\frac{\theta^k}{r}\cosh\rho\,(H_0 - H)d\sigma_\rho + o(1) \\ &= -(n-2)\int_{\Sigma_\rho}\frac{1+r^2}{2r^2}\theta^k\sinh\rho\,(H_0 - H)d\sigma_\rho + o(1) \\ &= -(n-2)\int_{\Sigma_\rho}V^{(k)}(H_0 - H)d\sigma_\rho + o(1)\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the assumption $\tau > n-1$ and the fact

$$\left(\frac{1+r^2}{2r^2}-1\right)\sinh\rho\,(H_0-H)=\frac{2}{r}(H_0-H)=O(e^{-\tau\rho}),$$

as $\rho\to\infty$.

To estimate $\int_{\Sigma_\rho} G(Z,\nu)d\sigma_\rho$, we adopt an argument in [10]. Let $\phi\geq 0$ be a fixed smooth function on $[0,\infty)$ such that $\phi(s)=0$ for $s\leq\frac{1}{2}$, $\phi(s)=1$ for $s\geq\frac{3}{4}$ and $0\leq\phi\leq 1$. For any fixed $\rho_0>0$ that is sufficiently large, define

$$\widetilde{g}=\left[1-\phi(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0})\right]g_0+\phi(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0})g=g_0+\phi(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0})(g-g_0),$$

which is a metric on B^n that equals g outside $\Omega_{\frac{3}{4}\rho_0}$ and agrees with g_0 inside $\Omega_{\frac{1}{2}\rho_0}$. Here Ω_ρ denotes the geodesic ball of radius ρ in (B^n,g_0) centered at the origin. Let $\widetilde{g}_{ij}=\widetilde{g}(\varepsilon_i,\varepsilon_j)$, then

$$|\widetilde{g}_{ij}-\delta_{ij}|+|\varepsilon_k(\widetilde{g}_{ij})|+|\varepsilon_k(\varepsilon_l(\widetilde{g}_{ij}))|\leq C_1e^{-\tau\rho_0}$$

on the annulus $A_{\rho_0}=\Omega_{\rho_0}\setminus\Omega_{\frac{1}{4}\rho_0}$ for some constant C_1 that is independent on ρ_0. Hence,

$$|G^{\widetilde{g}}_{-1}|_{\widetilde{g}}\leq C_2e^{-\tau\rho_0} \tag{3.24}$$

on A_{ρ_0} for some constant C_2 independent on ρ_0.

Now let $\widetilde{\beta}$ be the 1-form dual to Z with respect to $\widetilde{g}$. Let $(\widetilde{\beta})^s$ be the symmetric $(0,2)$ tensor given by $(\widetilde{\beta})^s_{ij}=\frac{1}{2}(\widetilde{\beta}_{i;j}+\widetilde{\beta}_{j;i})$ where " ; " denotes the covariant differentiation on $(B^n,\widetilde{g})$. Integrating by parts and using the fact $G^{\widetilde{g}}_{-1}=0$ at $\partial\Omega_{\frac{1}{4}\rho_0}=S_{\frac{1}{4}\rho_0}$, we have

$$\int_{S_{\rho_0}}G(Z,\nu)d\sigma_{\rho_0}=\int_{A_{\rho_0}}\langle G^{\widetilde{g}}_{-1},(\widetilde{\beta})^s\rangle_{\widetilde{g}}dV_{\widetilde{g}}, \tag{3.25}$$

where $dV_{\widetilde{g}}$ is the volume element of $\widetilde{g}$.

We next estimate $|(\widetilde{\beta})^s|_{\widetilde{g}}$. Note that if we write $Z=Z^i\varepsilon_i$, then

$$|Z^i|+|\varepsilon^j(Z^i)|\leq C_3e^{\rho} \tag{3.26}$$

for some constant C_3 independent on large ρ. Let β be the 1-form dual to Z with respect to g_0 and let " $|$ " denote the covariant differentiation on (B^n,g_0). On A_{ρ_0}, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\widetilde{\beta}_{i;j}-\beta_{i|j}|&\leq|\widetilde{\beta}_{i;j}-\widetilde{\beta}_{i|j}|+|(\widetilde{\beta}-\beta)_{i|j}|\\&\leq C_4e^{(-\tau+1)\rho_0}\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

for some constant C_4 independent on ρ_0, where we have used (3.26) and estimates analogues to (3.4) and (3.7) with g replaced by $\widetilde{g}$. Let

β^s be the symmetric $(0,2)$ tensor defined by $\beta^s_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(\beta_{i|j} + \beta_{j|i})$, then direct calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned}\beta^s_{ij} &= \theta^k \sinh\rho\,\delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\varepsilon_i(\frac{x^k}{r^2})\delta_{j0} + \varepsilon_j(\frac{x^k}{r^2})\delta_{i0}\right) - \frac{x^k}{r^2}\cosh\rho\,\delta_{ij}\\ &= \theta^k\left(\sinh\rho - \frac{1}{r}\cosh\rho\right)\delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\varepsilon_i(\frac{x^k}{r^2})\delta_{j0} + \varepsilon_j(\frac{x^k}{r^2})\delta_{i0}\right) \qquad (3.28)\\ &= -\frac{\theta^k}{r}\delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\varepsilon_i(\frac{x^k}{r^2})\delta_{j0} + \varepsilon_j(\frac{x^k}{r^2})\delta_{i0}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (3.27) and (3.28), we conclude

$$|(\widetilde{\beta})^s|_{\widetilde{g}} \le C_4 \qquad (3.29)$$

on A_{ρ_0} for some constant C_4 independent on ρ_0. It follows from (3.24), (3.25) and (3.29) that

$$\int_{S_{\rho_0}} G(Z,\nu)d\sigma_{\rho_0} = o(1)$$

as $\rho_0 \to \infty$ because $\tau > n-1$. This together with (3.23) completes the proof. □

Lemma 3.7. *Suppose $\tau > n-1$. Then as $\rho \to \infty$, for $1 \le k \le n$,*

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\Sigma_\rho} G^g_{-1}(X^{(k)},\nu)d\sigma_\rho\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{|\Sigma_\rho|}{\omega_{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}\int_{\Sigma_\rho}\frac{x^k}{|x|}\left[\frac{n-2}{n-1}H^2 - S^\rho - (n-1)(n-2)\right]d\sigma_\rho + o(1).\end{aligned}$$

Here $\{x^k\}$ are the coordinate functions in the ball model (B^n, g_0) of $\mathbb{H}^n$.

Proof. Since $\tau > n-1$, by the proof of Lemma 3.6, we have

$$\int_{\Sigma_\rho} G(X^{(k)},\nu)d\sigma_\rho = \int_{\Sigma_\rho}\frac{x^k}{r^2}G(X^{(0)},\nu)d\sigma_\rho + o(1) \qquad (3.30)$$

as $\rho \to \infty$. On the other hand, by (3.19),

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\Sigma_\rho}\frac{x^k}{r^2}G(X^{(0)},\nu)d\sigma_\rho\\ &= \sinh\rho\int_{\Sigma_\rho}\frac{x^k}{r^2}G(\nu,\nu)d\sigma_\rho + o(1)\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\sinh\rho\int_{\Sigma_\rho}\frac{x^k}{r^2}\left[\frac{n-2}{n-1}H^2 - S^\rho - (n-1)(n-2)\right]d\sigma_\rho + o(1).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{1}{r} = O(e^{-\rho})$,

$$\frac{n-2}{n-1}H^2 - S^\rho - (n-1)(n-2) = O(e^{-\tau\rho}),$$

and $\tau > n-1$, the result follows from (3.17) and (3.30). □

In the next Lemma, we normalize the embedding ι_ρ used in Lemma 3.5 and 3.6 so that the corresponding position vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n,1}$ can be compared to the vector $(V^{(0)}, V^{(1)}, \dots, V^{(n)})$ defined at points in Σ_ρ.

Lemma 3.8. *With the same assumptions and notation as in Lemma 3.5, with $\tau > n-1$, there exists an isometry Λ_ρ of $\mathbb{H}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n,1}$ such that the position vector $\Lambda_\rho \circ \iota_\rho(x)$ satisfies*

$$|(\Lambda_\rho \circ \iota_\rho)^{(i)}(x) - V^{(i)}(x)| = O(e^{(-\tau+3)\rho})$$

for $0 \le i \le n$ and for all $x \in \Sigma_\rho$. Here $(\Lambda_\rho \circ \iota_\rho)^{(i)}(x)$ is the i-th component of $(\Lambda_\rho \circ \iota_\rho)(x)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n,1}$.

Proof. We proceed as in [15]. Let $\coth \varsigma_1 = \max_{x\in\Sigma_\rho, 1\le j\le n-1} \kappa_j^{(0)}(x)$, where as before, $\kappa_j^{(0)}$ are the principal curvatures of $\iota_\rho(\Sigma_\rho)$. By Lemma 3.3, for large ρ, we have

$$\coth \varsigma_1 = \coth \rho + O(e^{-\tau\rho}) > 1$$

since $\tau > 2$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\varsigma_1 &= \frac{1}{2}\ln\left[\frac{\coth\rho + O(e^{-\tau\rho}) + 1}{\coth\rho + O(e^{-\tau\rho}) - 1}\right] \\ &= \rho + O(e^{(-\tau+2)\rho}).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, let $\coth \varsigma_2 = \min_{x\in\Sigma_\rho, 1\le j\le n-1} \kappa_j^{(0)}(x)$, then $\coth \varsigma_2 > 1$ and

$$\varsigma_2 = \rho + O(e^{(-\tau+2)\rho}).$$

By [11, Theorem 4.5] and [15, Proposition 3.1 and 3.2], we conclude that $\iota_\rho(\Sigma_\rho)$ lies between two geodesic balls with the same center with radii $\varsigma_{\mathbf{e}} \ge \varsigma_{\mathbf{i}}$ so that

$$\varsigma_{\mathbf{e}},\ \varsigma_{\mathbf{i}} = \rho + O(e^{(-\tau+2)\rho}). \tag{3.31}$$

Now, first choose an isometry Λ_ρ so that the center of these geodesic balls is at $o = (1,0,0,0) \in \mathbb{H}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n,1}$. Let ς be the hyperbolic distance function from o. Given $x \in \Sigma_\rho$, let $y(x) = \Lambda_\rho \circ \iota_\rho(x)$, then by (3.31),

$$\begin{aligned}\sinh\varsigma(y(x)) &= \sinh\rho + O(e^{(-\tau+3)\rho}), \\ \cosh\varsigma(y(x)) &= \cosh\rho + O(e^{(-\tau+3)\rho}),\end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

as $\rho \to \infty$. For the simplicity of notation, we still denote $\Lambda_\rho \circ \iota_\rho$ by ι_ρ. Using geodesic polar coordinates in $\mathbb{H}^n$ with respect to $o = (1, 0, 0, 0)$, for each $x \in \Sigma_\rho$, we define $\theta(x), \vartheta(x) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \subset T_o\mathbb{H}^n = \mathbb{R}^n$ respectively by

$$x = \exp_o(\rho\theta(x)) \quad \text{and} \quad y(x) = \exp_o(\varsigma(y(x))\vartheta(x)).$$

We want to estimate $\vartheta(x) - \theta(x)$ as points in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let d_ρ be the intrinsic distance function on (Σ_ρ, g_ρ), $\widetilde{d}_\rho$ be the intrinsic distance of $\iota_\rho(\Sigma_\rho)$, and let $d_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$ be the distance function on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ with respect to the standard metric h_0. Given $x_1, x_2 \in \Sigma_\rho$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sinh\rho\, d_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}(\theta(x_1), \theta(x_2)) &= d_\rho(x_1, x_2)\\ &= \widetilde{d}_\rho(y(x_1), y(x_2))\\ &= [\sinh\rho + O(e^{(-\tau+3)\rho})] d_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}(\vartheta(x_1), \vartheta(x_2))\end{aligned}$$

by (3.32). Hence,

$$d_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}(\vartheta(x_1), \vartheta(x_2)) = [1 + O(e^{(-\tau+2)\rho})] d_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}(\theta(x_1), \theta(x_2)). \tag{3.33}$$

Next, let $e_1 = (1, 0, \ldots, 0), \ldots, e_n = (0, 0, \cdots, 1) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. By composing ι_ρ with an isometry of $\mathbb{H}^n$ fixing o and still denoting the resulting composition by ι_ρ, we may assume $\vartheta(\rho e_1) = e_1$ and, for each $i > 1$, $\vartheta(\rho e_i)$ is a linear combination of $\{e_1, \ldots, e_i\}$ such that the coefficient of e_i is nonnegative. For this ι_ρ, we claim that for $1 \le j \le n$,

$$d_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}(\vartheta(\rho e_j), e_j) = O(e^{(-\tau+2)\rho}). \tag{3.34}$$

This is obvious true for $j = 1$ because $\vartheta(\rho e_1) = e_1$. Suppose (3.34) is true for $j = 1, \ldots, i$ where $i < n$. Write

$$\vartheta(\rho e_{i+1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{i+1} a_j e_j$$

with $a_{i+1} \ge 0$. For $1 \le j \le i$, by the triangle inequality,

$$|d_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}(\vartheta(\rho e_{i+1}), e_j) - d_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}(\vartheta(\rho e_{i+1}), \vartheta(\rho e_j)| \le d_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}(\vartheta(\rho e_j), e_j).$$

Hence, by (3.33), we have

$$d_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}(\vartheta(\rho e_{i+1}), e_j) = \frac{\pi}{2} + O(e^{(-\tau+2)\rho}), \tag{3.35}$$

which shows

$$a_j = \cos(d_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}(\vartheta(\rho e_{i+1}), e_j)) = O(e^{(-\tau+2)\rho})$$

for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, i\}$. Thus,

$$a_{i+1} = \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{2} a_j^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 1 + O(e^{(-\tau+2)\rho})$$

because $a_{i+1} \geq 0$. Therefore, (3.34) holds for $j = i+1$ and hence it is true for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. Now let $x \in \Sigma_\rho$, by (3.34) and (3.33),

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}(\vartheta(x), e_j) &= d_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}(\vartheta(x), \vartheta(\rho e_j)) + O(e^{(-\tau+2)\rho}) \\ &= d_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}(\theta(x), e_j) + O(e^{(-\tau+2)\rho}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, this implies

(3.36)

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta(x) - \theta(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\cos(d_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}(\vartheta(x), e_i)) - \cos(d_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}(\theta(x), e_i))\right] e_i \\ &= O(e^{(-\tau+2)\rho}). \end{aligned}$$

The lemma now follows from (3.32), (3.36) and the fact

$$V^{(0)}(x) = \cosh\rho,\ V^{(i)}(x) = \theta^{(i)}(x)\sinh\rho,$$

$$(\iota_\rho)^{(0)}(x) = \cosh\varsigma(y(x)),\ (\iota_\rho)^{(i)}(x) = \vartheta^{(i)}(x)\sinh\varsigma(y(x))$$

for $i \geq 1$. □

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. (a) and (b) of Part (I) are direct consequences of Lemma 3.4, 3.7 and Theorem 1.1 (ii).

As for Part (II), (a) follows from Lemma 3.3, 3.5, 3.6 and Theorem 1.1 (ii). To prove (b), by Lemma 3.3, 3.5, 3.6 and 3.8, there exist isometric embeddings $\iota_\rho = (\mathbf{x}^0, \ldots, \mathbf{x}^n) : (\Sigma_\rho, g_\rho) \to \mathbb{H}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n,1}$ such that, for each $i = 0, \ldots, n$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Sigma_\rho} (H_0 - H)\mathbf{x}^i \, d\sigma_\rho \\ &= \int_{\Sigma_\rho} (H_0 - H)V^{(i)} \, d\sigma_\rho + \int_{\Sigma_\rho} (H_0 - H)(\mathbf{x}^i - V^{(i)}) \, d\sigma_\rho \\ &= -\frac{1}{n-2} \int_{\Sigma_\rho} G^g_{-1}(X^{(i)}, \nu) \, d\sigma_\rho + o(1) \end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

provided $2\tau - 3 > n - 1$. But this is satisfied if $\tau > n - 1$ when $n \geq 4$ and $\tau > \frac{5}{2}$ when $n = 3$. Hence, (b) follows from (3.37) and Theorem 1.1 (ii). □

References

[1] Arnowitt, R.; Deser, S., and Misner, C. W., *Coordinate invariance and energy expressions in general relativity*, Phys. Rev. (2) **122** (1961), 997–1006.

[2] Ashtekar, A.; Hansen, R. O., *A unified treatment of null and spatial infinity in general relativity. I. Universal structure, asymptotic symmetries, and conserved quantities at spatial infinity*, J. Math. Phys. **19** (1978), no. 7, 1542–1566.

[3] Brown, J. D.; York, J. W., Jr., *Quasilocal energy in general relativity*, in Mathematical aspects of classical field theory (Seattle, WA, 1991), volume 132 of Contemp. Math., pages 129–142. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992.

[4] Brown, J. D.; York, J. W., Jr., *Quasilocal energy and conserved charges derived from the gravitational action*, Phys. Rev. D (3), 47(4):1407–1419,1993.

[5] Chruściel, P., *A remark on the positive energy theorem*, Class. Quantum Grav. **3** (1986), L115–L121.

[6] Chruściel, P.; Herzlich, M., *The mass of asymptotically hyperbolic Riemannian manifolds*, Pacific J. Math. **212** (2003), no. 2, 231–264.

[7] Fan, X.-Q.; Kwong, K.-K., *A property of the Brown-York mass in Schwarzschild manifolds*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **400** (2013), no. 2, 615–623.

[8] Fan, X.-Q., Shi, Y.-G.; Tam, L.-F., *Large-sphere and small-sphere limits of the Brown-York mass*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **17**(2009), no. 1, 37–72.

[9] Hawking, S.W., *Gravitational radiation in an expanding universe*, J. Math. Phys. **9** (1968), 598–604.

[10] Herzlich, M., *Computing asymptotic invariants with the Ricci tensor on asymptotically flat and hyperbolic manifolds*, arXiv:1503.00508.

[11] Howard, R., *Blaschke's rolling theorem for manifolds with boundary*, Manuscripta Mathematica **99** (1999), no. 4, 471–483.

[12] Huang, L.-H., *On the center of mass in General Relativity*, in Fifth International Congress of Chinese mathematicians, Part I, 2, AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math., vol. 51, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012, 575–591.

[13] Huisken, G., *Contracting convex hypersurfaces in Riemannian manifolds by their mean curvature*, Invent. Math. **84** (1986), no. 3, 463–480.

[14] Klingenberg, W., *A course in differential geometry*, Translated from the German by David Hoffman. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. **51**, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1978.

[15] Kwong, K.-K.; Tam, L.-F., *Limit of quasilocal mass integrals in asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **141**(2013), 313–324.

[16] Li, Y.; Weinstein, G., *A priori bounds for co-dimension one isometric embeddings*, Amer. J. Math. **121**(1999), no. 5, 945–965.

[17] Miao, P.; Tam, L.-F., *Evaluation of the ADM mass and center of mass via the Ricci tensor*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **144** (2016), no 2, 753–761.

[18] Michel, B., *Geometric invariance of mass-like invariants*, J. Math. Phys. **52** (2011), 052504.

[19] Neves, A., *Insufficient convergence of inverse mean curvature flow on asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **84** (2010), no. 1, 191–229.

[20] Nirenberg, L., *The Weyl and Minkowski problem in differential geometry in the large*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **6** (1953), no. 3, 337–394.

[21] Pogorelov, A., *Some results on surface theory in the large*, Advances in Math. **1** (1964), no. 2, 191–264.

[22] Shi, Y.-G.; Tam, L.-F., *Positive mass theorem and the boundary behaviors of compact manifolds with nonnegative scalar curvature*, J. Differential Geom. **62** (2002), no.1, 79–125.
[23] Shi, Y.-G.; Tam, L.-F., *Rigidity of compact manifolds and positivity of quasi-local mass*, Class. Quantum Grav., **24** (2007), no. 9, 2357–2366.
[24] Shi, Y.-G.; Wang, G.; Wu, J., *On the behavior of quasi-local mass at the infinity along nearly round surfaces*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom, **36**(2009), no.4, 419–441.
[25] Wang, G.; Wu, J., *Chern's magic form and the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern mass*, arXiv:1510.03036.
[26] Wang, M.-T.; Yau, S.-T., *A generalization of Liu-Yau's quasi-local mass*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **15** (2007), no. 2, 249–282.
[27] Wang, X., *The mass of asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **57** (2001), no. 2, 273–299.
[28] Zhang, X., *A definition of total energy-momenta and the positive mass theorem on asymptotically hyperbolic 3 manifolds I*, Commun. Math. Phys. **249** (2004), no. 3, 529–548.

(Pengzi Miao) Department of Mathematics, University of Miami, Coral Gables, FL 33146, USA.
E-mail address: pengzim@math.miami.edu

(Luen-Fai Tam) The Institute of Mathematical Sciences and Department of Mathematics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong, China.
E-mail address: lftam@math.cuhk.edu.hk

(Naqing Xie) School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China.
E-mail address: nqxie@fudan.edu.cn